Pro-Sociality and Political Voice

Evidence from a Lab-in-the-Field Experiment in Uganda

Ana Garcia-Hernandez ana.garcia-hernandez@wzb.eu

NOVA SBE & WZB

Symposium on Economic Experiments in Developing Countries (SEEDEC)

May 30th-31st, 2019

Female participation is important

- ► There is evidence that **political engagement of women** affects policy
- ▶ When women gained voting rights in USA:
 - ▶ There was a decline of 8 to 15% in child mortality (Miller, 2008)
 - More liberal voting patterns and increase government expenditures (Kenny and Lott, 1999)
- ▶ Furthermore, there is evidence that female participation in a village development program, increases involvement of women in village governance, community life and economic activities in Afghanistan (Beath, Christia and Enikolopov, 2013)

Participation of women at local level remains low

Africa	Attend comm meeting			Join others raise issue		
Region	Men	Women	Gap	Men	Women	Gap
North	36%	23%	14	37%	23%	15
Southern	58%	53%	6	43%	37%	6
East	69%	58%	11	56%	40%	16
West	62%	48%	14	58%	40%	18
Central	47%	41%	6	52%	45%	7
Average	57%	47%	10	50%	37%	13

Note 1: % who say "once or twice", "several times", or "often"

Note 2: Afrobarometer Dispatch No. 131. Pauline M. Wambua. Weak support and limited participation hinder womens political leadership in North Africa. Jan

2017. Data from 2016 wave













Research Questions

▶ What are the effects of an increase in political voice of women in the context of informal institutions on policy outcomes?

Research Questions

- ▶ What are the effects of an increase in political voice of women in the context of informal institutions on policy outcomes?
- ▶ What is the relationship between political voice and contributions to a community level public good game in the context of rural Uganda?

This paper

- ▶ Increasing political voice of women may help development by
 - 1. Shifting resources (different preferences)
 - 2. Increasing contributions to community (agency/reciprocity toward community)
- ▶ I develop a model explaining the relationship between political voice and pro-sociality
- ▶ I use a modified Public Good Game with contributions of public account used to buy a good for the community's school or health center
- ▶ It allows me to control for selection/reverse causality by exogenously assigning political voice

This paper

- ▶ Increasing political voice of women may help development by
 - 1. Shifting resources (different preferences)
 - 2. Increasing contributions to community (agency/reciprocity toward community)
- I develop a model explaining the relationship between political voice and pro-sociality
- ▶ I use a modified Public Good Game with contributions of public account used to buy a good for the community's school or health center
- ▶ It allows me to control for selection/reverse causality by exogenously assigning political voice

▶ Results:

- 1. No overall effect of political voice on contributions to community
- 2. Women overall contribute less than men, but those given political voice contribute the same as men
- Effect is driven by women who had higher levels of empowerment at baseline (survey)

I propose...

Novel version of a modified **public goods game** that examines the effect of an exogenous increase of political voice on the contributions to public goods.

I propose...

Novel version of a modified **public goods game** that examines the effect of an exogenous increase of political voice on the contributions to public goods.

Hypotheses:

1. More political voice increases the level of contributions.

I propose...

Novel version of a modified **public goods game** that examines the effect of an exogenous increase of political voice on the contributions to public goods.

Hypotheses:

- 1. More political voice increases the level of contributions.
- Political voice increases contributions more in women than in men - women start off with a lower level of political voice in the community

Context

- ▶ Lira District, North Uganda
- ► Sample size: 290 individuals, 10 per game. 29 PGG in 20 communities





- ▶ Ten participants per game selected by random walk previous day
- ▶ 94% attendance of mobilized people
- One or two games played per village. In case of two games, they were played simultaneously

Modified Public Good Game

- ▶ Two rounds
- ▶ Initial Endowment, E: 10,000 UGX (2.5€) in fake bills of 1,000 UGX
- ▶ Private account:

$$T_i = E_i - C_i$$

▶ Group account:

$$T_j = 2 * \sum C_i$$

 T_j is used to buy a good for the Primary School or for the Health Center in the community.

Political Voice

- Participants decide to which sector they want to allocate the money;
 education or health
- ▶ They vote for one of the sectors before the first round of the game
- ▶ Political Voice = Voting power
- ▶ At the beginning of each round, participants receive a card.
 - ▶ Red: invalid vote, no voting power
 - ► *Green:* valid vote, voting power
- Randomly allocated in the first round. In the second round the color is reversed.
- Participants know there are 5 green cards and 5 red cards but the don't know who has them

Strategy Method

- ▶ In each round the participant receives two different endowments (Education and Health) and the decision between public and private account is made *conditional* on that sector receiving more votes.
- ▶ To isolate the effect of political voice from preferences selection

1. Vote for education or health

- 1. Vote for education or health
- 2. Round 1
 - ${\bf 2.1}\,$ The participants receive the card $Political\ Voice$
 - 2.2 Participants make their contributions to education and to health

- 1. Vote for education or health
- 2. Round 1
 - ${\bf 2.1}\,$ The participants receive the card $Political\ Voice$
 - 2.2 Participants make their contributions to education and to health
- 3. Same in round 2

- 1. Vote for education or health
- 2. Round 1
 - ${\bf 2.1}\,$ The participants receive the card $Political\ Voice$
 - 2.2 Participants make their contributions to education and to health
- 3. Same in round 2
- 4. One round is randomly chosen

- 1. Vote for education or health
- 2. Round 1
 - 2.1 The participants receive the card Political Voice
 - 2.2 Participants make their contributions to education and to health
- 3. Same in round 2
- 4. One round is randomly chosen
- Votes are counted and payments made out for the category with more votes



H1: Effect of political voice

Table: Contributions Preferred sector

	(1)	(2)
	Contributions round 1	Contributions round 2
Political Voice	-0.226	0.081
	(0.195)	(0.203) 0.623^{***}
Contributions round 1		0.623***
		(0.056) 1.175^{***}
Constant	3.876***	1.175***
	(0.227)	(0.205)
N	288	288

H2: Effect political voice by gender

Table: Contributions Preferred sector

	(1)
	Contributions
Political Voice	-0.262
	(0.257)
Female	-0.744***
	(0.245)
Political Voice X Female	0.605^{*}
	(0.330)
Contributions Round 1	0.618^{***}
	(0.056)
Constant	1.598***
	(0.256)
N	288

Exploratory analysis

Table: Contributions Preferred sector

	(1)
	Contributions
Political Voice	0.131
	(0.284)
Female	-0.458
	(0.230)
Political Voice X Female	-0.064
	(0.429)
Empowerment	0.347
	(0.235)
Empowerment X Political Voice	-0.619*
	(0.356)
Empowerment X Female	-0.461
	(0.443)
Empowerment X Political Voice X Female	1.173^{*}
	(0.617)
Contributions round 1	0.617***
	(0.055)
Constant	1.384***
	(0.307)
N	288

▶ Political voice does not increase pro-social behavior in the form of contributions to the community.

- Political voice does not increase pro-social behavior in the form of contributions to the community.
- Women contribute less on average than men but when given political voice this effect is compensated.

- Political voice does not increase pro-social behavior in the form of contributions to the community.
- Women contribute less on average than men but when given political voice this effect is compensated.
- ▶ There is suggestive evidence that men and women respond very differently to the treatment of political voice according to previous level of empowerment in the community, probably driven by the fact that their point of reference is different; their own group.

- Political voice does not increase pro-social behavior in the form of contributions to the community.
- Women contribute less on average than men but when given political voice this effect is compensated.
- ▶ There is suggestive evidence that men and women respond very differently to the treatment of political voice according to previous level of empowerment in the community, probably driven by the fact that their point of reference is different; their own group.
- ▶ Data suggests that women that have experienced some empowerment in the community, contribute more when given political voice in the game. Men seem to have the opposite behavior.

- Political voice does not increase pro-social behavior in the form of contributions to the community.
- Women contribute less on average than men but when given political voice this effect is compensated.
- ▶ There is suggestive evidence that men and women respond very differently to the treatment of political voice according to previous level of empowerment in the community, probably driven by the fact that their point of reference is different; their own group.
- ▶ Data suggests that women that have experienced some empowerment in the community, contribute more when given political voice in the game. Men seem to have the opposite behavior.
- Policy implication: be cautious when designing programs that aim to improve political voice for marginalized groups since it may not be effective for the most marginalized.

Pro-Sociality and Political Voice

Evidence from a Lab-in-the-Field Experiment in Uganda

Ana Garcia-Hernandez ana.garcia-hernandez@wzb.eu

NOVA SBE & WZB

Symposium on Economic Experiments in Developing Countries (SEEDEC)

May 30th-31st, 2019