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Main findings

- I summarize here the findings from several studies on agricultural prices and small farmers
  - 2 studies on coffee farmers in Uganda
  - 1 study of Indian farmers in Maharashtra
  - 1 study of producer prices in Niger
Why do Ugandan farmers sell at the farmgate?

• Using detailed survey data from Uganda, Ruth Hill and I examine whether coffee producers sell to itinerant traders at the farmgate, or directly to market, where they can get a higher price but must incur a transport cost.

• We find that selling at the farmgate is more likely when the quantity sold is small and the market far away.

• Similar to finding that the poor buy in convenience stores, where prices are higher. => demand for convenience by the poor?

• No. Other things equal, wealthy farmers are more likely to sell at the farmgate, possibly because the shadow value of their time is higher. But if they have a large quantity for sale (which they often do) they are more likely to sell in a market. They are also more likely to travel to a distant market. These findings are consistent with their better ability to pay for public transportation.
Price transmission to farmers

• In a second paper with Ruth Hill, we examine the transmission of a rise in world coffee prices to small Ugandan farmers.

• We find that prices paid by exporters and wholesalers in Uganda closely follow world prices

• But prices paid to farmers rise proportionally less than world prices or Ugandan wholesale prices
Coffee prices in Uganda over time
Coffee prices in our study

[Graph showing the price of coffee over months, comparing international Robusta price, exporter purchase price, trader purchase price, and farmer sale price.]
Producer prices do not keep up

• This occurs in spite of massive competition among traders
• We argue that the evidence is consistent with excess entry by itinerant traders who purchase at the farmgate
• This can only happen if farmers are ignorant of the movement in export/wholesale price
Field experiment in Maharashtra

• Collaboration with Thompson-Reuters and the World Bank in India
• RML is phone-based commercial service sold to farmers
• RML provides price information on nearby wholesale markets
• We offer RML to small farmers for free
Field experiment in Maharashtra

• Large scale randomized controlled trial
  – 5 districts
  – 100 villages
  – 1000 farmers
  – 1 year

• We focus on smaller/more perishable crops for which prices are more variable
Field experiment in Maharashtra

- Most farmers sell in a single district market
- None sells in another district
- Farmers are happy with RML but
- We find no effect of RML on producer prices
- Except for the 50% of pomegranate farmers who initially were selling at the farmgate
- Similar null effects have been found in other studies in India and elsewhere
Cell phones in Niger

• Jenny Aker has documented the beneficial effect that cell phone introduction had on agricultural traders
• With Jenny we examine whether the introduction of cell phones affected producer prices
• We use the gradual roll-out of cell phones in Niger to identify the effect
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Cell phones in Niger

• We find no effect on the average producer price
• But we also find a reduction in the spatial variance in prices
• This is consistent with traders using cell phones to better select the village markets they visit so as to avoid over- or under-crowding
Conclusion: Do small farmers benefit from price information?

- Small farmers may be tempted to sell at the farm-gate to economize on transport costs.
- By doing so, however, they may be cheated on prices.
- This can be reinforced by excessive entry of farm-gate buyers when agricultural prices rise.
Can price information increase the price small farmers get for their output?

• It depends:
  – If they otherwise would sell at the farm gate, yes
  – If they otherwise would sell at an organized market, no

• This is because farmers do not have a comparative advantage in spatial arbitrage across markets – traders do
What about providing price information/cell phones to traders?

• Some traders benefit (temporarily?) from the introduction of cell phones
• This facilitates their spatial arbitrage
• This reduces spatial price dispersion, and hence the variance of producer prices
• But it does not, by itself, increase the average producer price