Child health and adult income

- Do child health investments increase adult living standards?

  -- This question is of great interest to CEGA researchers, and of major policy importance for governments and aid donors – but solid answers remain elusive

  -- Why? There are many methodological challenges to studying this question, including non-random child health investments (i.e., sick children may have other disadvantages, such as poverty), and the near lack of panel datasets tracking children into adulthood

Child health and adult income

- I will focus on the problem of worm infections in rural Kenya

  -- 1 in 4 people are infected by intestinal worms around the globe, with massive disease burden (due to anemia, fatigue, growth stunting, lethargy), especially among children in Africa

  -- The case of deworming in Kenya illustrates the power of the analytical methods used by CEGA researchers, and their potential to improve public policy choices in less developed countries

  -- The CEGA “Evidence to Action” Symposium in April 2010 focused on the impact of deworming in Kenya, but we did not yet have research results on long-run impacts. That is my focus today.

Deworming and schooling in Kenya


  -- 75 primary schools (30,000 children aged 6-18), with deworming treatment phased in over three years in 25 schools at a time.

  -- We used randomized evaluation methods, where schools are gradually “phased in” to deworming in a randomized order. This is a practical real-world approach since real-world financial constraint often lead to staggered phase-in.

  -- As in a medical trial, randomization provides a plausible method for estimating program impacts, since on average the “treatment” and “control” groups are similar in all ways but one: the intervention.
Deworming and schooling in Kenya

- Deworming led to large schooling gains – at low cost.

  -- We worked in a rural district with 90 percent worm infection rates at baseline. Treatment with albendazole and praziquantel twice per year costs less than 0.50 USD per child.

  -- Rates of serious worm infections fell by half, from 52% to 25%. There were also significant gains in self-reported health and height.

  -- There were large gains in rates of school participation in the first two years of the project, with absenteeism falling by one quarter, or 7.5 percentage points.

Deworming had broader community-wide benefits.

- Deworming reduced re-infection among other community members, including among untreated children in treatment schools (70% of the effect on the treated) and those living within 6 km. There were also positive “spillovers” in school attendance.

  -- Taking these positive spillovers into account, deworming increased school participation by one year at a cost of only 3.50 USD. Miguel and Kremer (2004) argue this finding provides a strong rationale for subsidized deworming treatment.

Translating Evidence into Action

- The findings of this study, together with others, have contributed to large-scale policy change in Kenya.

  -- My co-author and I disseminated the findings in seminars at the Ministry of Education and World Bank offices in Nairobi, at WHO, health policy conferences, popular news articles and books, through meetings with policymakers in Kenya, USAID and elsewhere.

  -- Together with the efforts of other colleagues, NGOs, and advocates within Kenya, this helped generate growing interest in a mass school deworming campaign in Kenya.

  -- With technical advice from Deworm the World (an NGO), the Kenya Ministry of Education carried out a national school-based deworming program in 2009 that treated over 3.6 million children!
Assessing long-run impacts on income

- The Kenya Life Panel Survey (1998-2009) data project

--- A representative sample of 7,530 of the roughly 33,000 individuals in the baseline deworming sample were tracked over time. By the 2007-2009 survey round, most were 20-26 years old.

--- KLPS individuals were “tracked” as they moved throughout Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania – and even to London in one case! The team regularly updated contact information, often using cell phones.

--- This intensive fieldwork allowed us to track down and survey 85% of the sample (among those still alive), a remarkably high rate for a young adult population over a decade (Baird, Hamory-Hicks, Kremer and Miguel 2010).

Deworming impacts on living standards

- The additional 2 to 3 years of deworming pills received by the treatment group led to large labor market benefits in 2007-2009

--- Among wage earners, income rose 20-29% in the treatment group, with similar gains for both females and males.

--- Deworming beneficiaries appear to have greater capacity to work longer hours: hours worked rose substantially, by 13%.

--- There is a large shift in employment sector, with a doubling of employment in well-paid manufacturing jobs (for men), and much less casual labor and work in domestic services (for women).
Deworming impacts on living standards

- Why the labor market gains? Deworming also led to improved measures of health and education.

  -- On average, the total time enrolled in school between 1998 and 2008 rose by more than 0.3 years in the deworming treatment group, and there is some evidence that test scores also improved.

  -- Self-reported health status is significantly higher, as are total health expenditures.

  -- Another indication of higher living standards: the number of meals eaten in the previous day increased significantly.

Deworming as a human capital investment

- The return on deworming investments is extraordinarily high, even under conservative assumptions.

  -- The benefits side: higher labor market earnings and wages in the deworming treatment group.

  -- The cost side: (i) deworming pills and delivery; (ii) the opportunity cost of time spent in school and not working (especially for teenagers); and (iii) the cost of additional teacher salaries to maintain class sizes at pre-deworming levels

  -- The social benefit-cost ratio is 60:1. Considering externalities (which we ignore) would increase earnings gains by over 50%. The internal rate of return is 28% per annum.

Investing in the future: school health programs

- The bottom line: childhood deworming in Kenya not only improved school participation in the short-run, but also led to much higher labor market earnings a full decade later.

  -- Health investments for older children above age 0-3 can still have large impacts on future living standards.

  -- While the income gains from treating worms cannot begin to eliminate the gap between Kenya and rich countries like the U.S., the gains are meaningful for people living near subsistence

  -- The national primary school deworming program Kenya launched in 2009 will likely have large future social benefits.
Next steps: building human capital in Kenyan youth

- Ongoing research projects seek to build a fuller understanding of the most promising human capital investments in Kenya

  -- A randomized evaluation is underway to estimate the benefits of *vouchers for vocational education* – a promising approach to skills upgrading among out-of-school youth.

  -- The long-run survey tracking (2001-2011) of earlier beneficiaries of a *girls merit scholarship program* is planned for next year.

  -- We hope the knowledge gained from these and other rigorous evaluations by CEGA faculty, staff and Ph.D. students will help inform policymakers in Kenya – and other African countries – about the most effective education and health reforms.